

2023-12-07

Sharps injuries within the healthcare student population: a narrative review

Hambridge, K

<https://pearl.plymouth.ac.uk/handle/10026.1/22412>

10.12968/bjon.2023.32.22.1098

British Journal of Nursing

Mark Allen Group

All content in PEARL is protected by copyright law. Author manuscripts are made available in accordance with publisher policies. Please cite only the published version using the details provided on the item record or document. In the absence of an open licence (e.g. Creative Commons), permissions for further reuse of content should be sought from the publisher or author.

An exploration of sharps injuries within healthcare students at a UK University

Word count: 4562

Approval obtained for reproduction or modification of material published elsewhere:
N/A

Sources of funding: This work was supported by the Worshipful Company of Needle makers

Commercial affiliations: N/A

Abstract

Background

There is evidence available worldwide that nursing, medical and dental students sustain sharps injuries during their programmes of study. However, there is lack of evidence and research relating to the many students of other healthcare professions who may encounter sharps instruments.

Aim/ Objective

The aim of the study was to identify the extent, type and impact of sharps injuries sustained by pre-registration healthcare students.

Methods

An online survey was administered to 3372 healthcare students at a University in the United Kingdom.

Findings/results

Some healthcare students other than nursing, medical and dentistry had sustained a sharps injury. The most common device involved were glass ampoules. The

common causes were equipment and carelessness. Some healthcare students sustained psychological impacts following the sharps injury.

Discussion

Sharps injuries are common amongst some healthcare students and there is scope for more education for these groups of students relating to the risks, safe handling, reporting and prevention of sharps injuries.

Keywords

Sharps; infection control; student; healthcare; nursing; dental; medical; podiatry; paramedicine; occupational therapy

Background / Literature review

The purpose of this study was to identify the extent, type and impact of sharps injuries sustained by pre-registration healthcare students within a university in the UK. Sharps injuries have been defined as needles, blades and other medical instruments used in healthcare which have the potential to cause a cutting or pricking injury (HSE, 2021).

Sharps injuries are a well-known risk in the health and social care sector. These types of injuries can have many consequences. Sharps contaminated with an infected patient's blood can transmit more than 20 diseases, including hepatitis B, C and human immunodeficiency virus (HIV) (Cooke and Stephens, 2017). Because of this transmission risk, sharps injuries can cause worry and stress to the many thousands who receive them (HSE, 2021). These types of injuries can also have a huge psychological impact on the recipient and their families (RCN, 2009). This can

include anxiety (Gershon et al., 2000), depression (Sohn et al., 2006) and post-traumatic stress disorder (Naghavi et al., 2013).

Amongst the numerous laws that relate to sharps usage and safety, the Health and Safety (Sharp Instruments in Healthcare) Regulations (2013) makes it clear that employers should make sure that the risks of sharps injuries are sufficiently regulated. This is significant as healthcare students spend a proportion of their learning potentially handling sharps. The key points to ponder for students are that there should be an avoidance of the superfluous use of sharps; that safer sharps should be accessible for usage; recapping should be prohibited; and medical sharps disposal containers should be utilised safely.

Studies specifically investigating sharps injuries within multiple healthcare students are sparse. Bhattarai et al (2014) studied sharps injuries within healthcare students in Nepal and found that 42.8% (n=90) had experienced at least one injury during the programme. Nursing students accounted for 70% (n=63); 20% (n=18) were medical students and 10% (n=9) were dental students. McCarthy and Britton (2000) identified similar student types when investigating sharps injuries within healthcare students in Canada and found that 82% involved dental students, 57% involved medical students and 27% involved nursing students.

Nursing students have been investigated for rates of sharps injuries in a recent systematic review and meta-analysis and evidence suggests that between 6 – 51% of populations worldwide have sustained a sharps injury (Chen and Zhang, 2021). Midwifery students are commonly amalgamated with nursing students within studies, and the range of sharps injuries is reported to be between 35.5% (Karadağ, 2010)

and (Kusun and Arslan, 2014). When including medical students, the range is between 11% (Varsou et al., 2009) and 95% (Liyanage et al., 2012) and within dentistry students between 13% (Smith et al., 2006) and 43.1% (Fernandes et al., 2017). Information and evidence though are very limited regarding the extent, circumstances and reporting of sharps injuries in other undergraduate healthcare students (Marnejon et al, 2016; Hambridge et al, 2016) and this is the reason for investigating this population.

Aims and objectives

The aim of the study was to identify the extent, type and impact of sharps injuries sustained by pre-registration healthcare students. The objectives were:

- To identify which healthcare students sustain sharps injuries
- To understand the extent of sharps injuries sustained by healthcare students
- To discover the type of sharps injury that healthcare students sustain
- To identify the impact of the sharps injury on the individual student

Methodology and methods

Study design

A cross-sectional survey was conducted.

Participants and setting

Convenience sampling was utilised to recruit participants from one University in the UK. The inclusion criteria were students enrolled on pre-registration healthcare programmes including nursing, midwifery, medicine, dentistry, paramedicine, dietetics, physiotherapy, occupational therapy, optometry, podiatry and radiography. The programmes were studied at BSc and MSc level and lasted for 3-6 years depending upon the specialty and the programme.

Materials and procedures

The questionnaire was based upon a previously validated and reliable tool (Hambridge et al, 2021) designed to explore sharps injuries within a nursing student population. The questionnaire was modified to incorporate the potential varied experiences within other healthcare students. The modified questionnaire was distributed to lecturers representing each student group to explore the face and content validity. Only minor changes were required. A small pilot study (n=23) was completed with minor grammatical corrections suggested by healthcare students.

The questionnaire collected demographic and background data and then comprised of 17 questions (Box 1). Four questions were employed to investigate the impact of a sharps injury, including Post Traumatic Stress Disorder (PTSD). The Primary Care PTSD Screen (PC-PTSD Screen) (US Department of Veteran Affairs, 2013) was used and very slightly modified for this purpose of **identifying potential PTSD following a sharps injury**. Existing research proposes that the results of the PC-PTSD should be seen as “positive” if a person answers “Yes” to any three items or more.

Box 1

The online questionnaire was created utilising the Jisc online survey tool (Joint Information Systems Committee (Jisc), 2021). It was distributed via email to students enrolled on healthcare programmes in the last stages of their academic year, on or near completion of a clinical placement. The timespan for the distribution and collection of the questionnaires was between May and September 2022. Reminder emails to boost completion were sent four times.

Ethics

The participants were informed that participation was entirely voluntary and that they had the right to withdraw from the study before the survey was submitted. Students were informed that participation in the study, or refusal to take part, would have no bearing at all on their progress within the programme which they were studying. There was no coercion or duress placed upon the participants in the light of the lecturer-student relationship which remained professional throughout.

The information given to potential respondents stated that due to the delicate nature of the study, counselling or support from their University services or GP should be sought if they felt that they had been psychologically harmed by the sharps injury. Because of the anonymous nature of surveys, it was not possible to ensure this had happened.

The information stated that responses were confidential and anonymous, and that the survey was not a test of knowledge but the researcher was interested in the honest views and opinions of the participant. It was stated that this study had been approved by the Ethics Committee at the University. Finally, potential respondents were informed that if they had any questions or concerns about the project, contact details of the researcher were provided.

Analysis

Descriptive statistics were reported using frequency and percentages for categorical variables, and median, range, and interquartile range for continuous variables. Either a Chi-squared test of independence or a Fisher's exact test was applied for assessing associations between variables of interest, according as the assumptions underlying the respective test was satisfied.

Results

Response rate

The survey questionnaire was distributed to 3372 healthcare students and 724 responded giving an overall response rate of 21.47%. There was variation with the response rate between programmes (Table 1).

Demographics

Most respondents were female (81%), aged between 20-29 years old (66%) and in the first three years of the programme (88%) (Table 2).

Incidence of sharps injuries

The incidence rate of sharps injuries within the last academic year amongst healthcare students was 13% (n=93). Most injured students were aged between 20-29 (70% n=65) and female (88% n=82). Sharps injuries occurred more commonly in the first three academic years (28-29%) than in the sixth year (2.2%). When considering different healthcare programmes, podiatry had the highest prevalence (31% n=5), followed by midwifery (21% n=16). Students from four programmes did not sustain sharps injuries (Table 3).

Number of sharps injuries

Most students (82.8% n=77) who sustained an injury had one sharps injury per academic year, with 12.9% (n=12) having two injuries.

Devices that caused the injuries

Analysis of individual injuries showed 19 different devices which were involved in the production of a sharps injury. Glass ampoules (39.6% n=40) were the most common,

followed by hollow bore subcutaneous or intramuscular needles (17.8% n=18). Interestingly one adult nursing student sustained an injury with a patient's egg piercer (Table 4).

Timing of sharps injury

Most sharps injuries (55.9% n=52) occurred in the morning between 0600 – 1159, followed by 1200 – 1759 (31.2% n=29) and 1800 – 2359 (11.8% n=11).

Main causes of the sharps injury

A total of 87 responses reported the main cause of the sharps injury were 'the equipment', 'carelessness' and 'inexperience'. A total of 10 potential causes were reported (Table 5).

Prevalence of respondents observed during the injury

In total, more than one third of students (36.6% n=34) were not being observed when the sharps injury occurred. This included respondents from all the programmes where a healthcare student sustained a sharps injury, with the highest prevalence being occupational therapy (100% n=1), podiatry (80% n=4) and dentistry (71.43% n=5) students.

Used or clean sharp

Over a quarter of the sharps injuries (25.8% n=24) were sustained with a sharp which had been used.

Location of sharps injury

The most common location for a sharps injury to occur was in the 'treatment room' (21% n=21), followed by the 'patient's bedside' (16% n=16) and a 'clinic' (15% n=15). In total, there were fourteen different locations where sharps injuries were reported (Table 6).

Specialty where injury occurred

The most common specialties where sharps injuries occurred were 'medical placements' (15.31% n=15), 'obstetrics / gynaecology / maternity' (13.27%) and the education simulation environment (10.2% n=10). In total, sharps injuries were reported in twenty specialties (Table 7).

Part of body affected

The vast majority of injuries occurred to the hand (97.8% n=91) although within dentistry one injury occurred to the lip and one to the arm.

Reporting of the sharps injury

More than half of sharps injuries (53% n=49) were not reported. Paramedicine (75% n=6) and Dentistry (71% n=5) had the highest report rates with Occupational Therapy (0% n=1) having the lowest reporting rate. (Table 8).

The reason for not reporting of sharps injuries

The most common reason for not reporting the sharps injury included it being a 'minor injury' (36% n=40) and being 'unused or clean equipment' (30% n=34). In total, there were thirteen reasons given for non-reporting (Table 9).

Post Traumatic Stress Disorder (PTSD)

In total, 5.38% (n=5) of respondents who had sustained a sharps injury answered three or more of the four PTSD questions positively. This suggests that these students showed signs of PTSD **linked specifically to the sharps injury**. In total 41 respondents answered 'yes' to at least one PTSD question which suggests that 44.09% of healthcare students who sustained a sharps injury suffered some psychological effect of the injury.

Discussion

This is one of the few studies which has specifically investigated sharps injuries within a wide selection of healthcare students. The overall incidence rate of sharps injuries amongst healthcare students in this study was 13% (n=93). Studies investigating specifically healthcare students found a range of between 14.8% (Papadopoli et al, 2019) in Nepal and 42.8% (Bhattarai et al, 2014) in Italy. **The rate identified in this study compares well with previous research.**

Studies have also found that nursing, dentistry and medical students account for most sharps injuries within healthcare students (McCarthy and Britton, 2000; Bhattarai et al, 2014). In this study, the incidence rates of sharps injuries for nursing students were 17% (Child), 14% (Adult), 11% (Mental Health) and 21% (Midwifery). This compares favourably with the 35% prevalence rate in nursing students (Xu et al, 2022) and the 45.3% (Bouya et al, 2020) prevalence rates in healthcare workers reported within recent systematic reviews. The incidence rate for dentistry students was 9% and this compares favourably to the worldwide incidence range of between 13% (Smith et al, 2006) in the West Indies to 43.1% (n=59) (Fernandes et al, 2017)

in Brazil. The incidence rate for medical students was 12% and this compares favourably to incidence rates vary between 29.5% (n=188) (Marusic et al, 2017) in Serbia to 39.3% (n=184) (Ghasemzadeh et al, 2015) in Iran. Most injured healthcare students were in the first three academic years of their education, with the sixth year being the least common timeframe. This could link to the inexperience of the students with handling sharps (Malik et al., 2019).

This study showed that podiatry students and occupational therapy students sustained sharps injuries. Even though the number of participants was small, this may be the first identification of sharps injuries in this group of healthcare students worldwide. Hence, more research into these specific healthcare students is required. A total of 16% of paramedicine students in this study sustained a sharps injury. There is a lack of evidence relating to paramedicine students worldwide. The one other study conducted by Jung (2019) found an incidence of 28.8% in Korean paramedicine students, whilst a study of trained paramedics identified that 12% had sustained sharps injuries during their career (Garus-Pakowska et al., 2017). This highlights the potential risk of sharps injuries for paramedicine students within their training and the need for more research into this under-explored student and professional group.

The most common device causing a sharps injury in this study was glass ampoules (39.6%), although various types of needles amalgamated accounted for 42.7% of sharps injuries. There are numerous devices which can cause a sharps injury to healthcare students. Equipment involved in a sharps injury is occasionally generic to different types of student such as various forms of needles (Bhattarai et al., 2014),

but is sometimes particular to specific healthcare students, such as dentistry students and a scaler (Smith et al., 2006). This was evident in this study where many types of healthcare students had injuries with glass ampoules and needles which are generic to many professions, but also specific equipment linked to that programme i.e. a podiatry student sustaining a sharps injury with a nail nipper. An unusual finding was Adult Nursing student sustaining a sharps injury with a patient's egg piercer which is a previously unreported device. This highlights the fact that some sharps injuries can occur in healthcare students with devices which are not by definition medical devices. Additionally, healthcare students should have access to safer sharps when in simulation and in practice when these types of safer devices are available.

In this study, the most common time for a sharps injury was between 0600-1159, followed by the afternoon. Previous studies with nursing students (Hambridge et al, 2021) and dentistry students (Fernandes et al, 2017) found similarly that most sharps injuries occurred in the morning and afternoon. This relates to the fact that healthcare students may be more likely to be in placement or simulating at this time compared to later parts of the day and night.

The most reported causes of a sharps injury in this study were the equipment, carelessness, and inexperience. Many other studies have shown carelessness (Wicker and Rabenau, 2010; Papadopoli et al., 2019; Malik et al., 2019), and inexperience (Bhattarai et al, 2014; Ghasemzadeh et al, 2015; Fernandes et al, 2017) to be causes of sharps injuries within healthcare students. This could relate to healthcare students failing to give attention to avoidable risks involved with sharps

handling and management due to their potential lack of experience, awareness and knowledge. Equipment is not specifically mentioned within the literature but may come under the umbrella of inexperience and completing the skills for the first time. Stress was a rare cause within this study, although this has been more prevalent in other studies (Wicker and Rabenau, 2010; Bhattarai et al, 2014) causing 16.7% and 50% of sharps injuries respectively. Also, the 'uncooperative' or 'restless' patient was not mentioned within this study, although it has been reported in many others (Bhattarai et al, 2014; Ghasemzadeh et al, 2015).

In total, more than one third of students (36.6% n=34) were not being observed when the sharps injury occurred. This fits with other studies where between 21.4% of nursing students (Hambridge et al, 2021) and 41.2% of dental students (Wicker and Rabenau, 2010) sustained a sharps injury when not being observed. Not being observed is a contributing factor as this offers the healthcare student to potentially practice unsafely due to issues such as carelessness, time pressures and inexperience.

The most common location for a sharps injury to occur in this study was in the treatment room, the patient's bedside and in a clinic. Reported locations where healthcare students sustain sharps injuries varies widely in the literature (Fernandes et al, 2017; Marusic et al, 2017). Some occur within the numerous practice placement settings (Shen et al., 1999), but also within university environments (Myers et al., 2012). The most common specialties where sharps injuries occurred in this study were medical placements, obstetrics / gynaecology / maternity, and the education simulation environment. Similarly, many various specialties have been

reported within the literature (Ghasemzadeh et al, 2015) of where healthcare students sustain sharps injuries. Smith and Leggat (2005) first reported that nursing students sustain sharps injuries within clinical skills simulation. It is essential that healthcare students who may be anxious and inexperienced are supervised effectively within the simulation setting. It is here that learning occurs regarding the use of safe sharps and the importance of complying with guidance, policies and laws regarding sharps safety.

Most injuries occurred in this study to the hand, although one injury occurred to the lip and one to the arm. This links with previous research showing that between 81.1% (Papadopoli et al, 2019) and 97% (Shen et al, 1999) of sharps injuries occur to the hand, relating to the most common part of the body in contact with the sharp.

More than half of sharps injuries were not reported, with paramedicine students and dentistry students having the highest reporting rates (75% n=6) and occupational therapy students having the lowest reporting rate (0% n=1). Worrying, evidence suggests that many sharps injuries are not reported by healthcare students, with the non-reporting range being between 28.75% (Malik et al, 2019) within dental students in Pakistan and 71.5% (Wicker and Rabenau, 2010) in dental students in Germany. The most reported reasons in this study for not reporting the sharps injury included it being a minor injury and being unused or clean equipment. Research into medical students has found similar reasons (Marusic et al (2017). The non-reporting of sharps injuries by healthcare students may mean missed opportunities for physical and psychological care, missed prophylactic treatments, and the non-identification of poor or unsafe practice which would need to be addressed through education.

In total, 5.38% (n=5) of respondents who had sustained a sharps injury answered three or more of the four Post-Traumatic Stress Disease (PTSD) questions positively, suggesting that the sharps injury may have contributed to PTSD. This is similar to the PTSD rate identified by Hambridge et al (2021) in nursing students who had sustained sharps injuries. This finding highlights the need for trained healthcare professionals and academics to be aware of the potential need for the psychological follow-up care for healthcare students who sustain a sharps injury.

Conclusion

This study identified that as well as nursing, medical and dentistry students, podiatry, midwifery, paramedicine and occupational therapy students sustain sharps injuries. The most common devices causing sharps injury was shown to be glass and needles, with the most frequent locations being the treatment room and the patient's bedside. The equipment, carelessness and inexperience were stated as the contributing factors to sharps injuries. The hand was the most common site of the body affected, with the most common specialties being medicine and obstetrics / gynaecology / maternity. Some students showed signs and symptoms of PTSD following the sharps injury. Further research needs to be performed investigating not just the association between sharps injuries and PTSD, but sharps injuries within healthcare students other than nursing, medical and dentistry. There is scope for a review of the education strategies for healthcare students relating to the importance of using safe sharps, how to handle sharps, sharps management and the prevention of injuries.

Declarations

There were no conflicting interests, there was funding as stated above, and full ethical approval was gained to perform the study.

References

- Bhattarai, S., Smriti, KC., Pradhan, PMS., Lama, S., Rijil, S. (2014) 'Hepatitis B vaccination status and needle stick and sharps related injuries among medical school students in Nepal: a cross-section study', *BMC Res Notes*, 7, pp. 774.
- Bouya, S., Balouchi, A., Rafiemanesh, H., Amirshahi, M., Dastres, M., Moghadam, MP (2020) 'Global prevalence and device related causes of needlestick injury among healthcare workers: a systematic review and meta-analysis', *Ann Glob Health*, 86(1) 35.
- Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (2008) *Workbook for designing, implementing and evaluating a sharps injury prevention program*. Available at: https://www.cdc.gov/sharpsafety/pdf/sharpsworkbook_2008.pdf (Accessed: 23 June 2023).
- Chen, M., Zhang, L. (2021) Prevalence of needlestick injuries among nursing interns: a systematic review and meta-analysis, *Annals of Palliative Medicine*, 10(7), pp. 7525-7533.
- Cooke, CE., Stephens, JM. (2017) 'Clinical, economic, and humanistic burden of needlestick injuries in healthcare workers', *Medical devices: Evidence and Research*, 10, pp. 225-235
- Fareed G, Hussain A, Jameel A, Akhtar M, Hussain A, Taj S. (2013) 'Knowledge, attitude and practices among nursing students on needle-stick injuries', 7(2):357–60.
- Fernandes, LHF., Nunes, WB., Silva, LC., Wanderley, RL., Barros, CMB., Cavalcanti, AL. (2017) 'Needlestick and sharp instrument injuries among Brazilian dentistry students', *Contemp Clin Dent*, 8, 1, pp. 112-5.
- Garus-Pakowska, A., Szatko, F., Ulrichs, M. (2017) 'Work-related accidents and sharps injuries in paramedics – illustrated with an example of a multi-specialist hospital located in central Poland', *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health*, 14(8), pp. 901.
- Gershon, RR., Flanagan, PA., Karkashian, C., Grimes, H., Wilburn, S., Frerotte, J., Guidera, J., Pugliese, G. (2000) 'Health care workers' experience with postexposure management of bloodborne pathogen exposures: a pilot study', *American Journal of Infection Control*, 28(6), pp. 421-8.
- Ghasemzadeh, I., Kazerooni, M., Davoodian, P., Hamed, Y., Sadeghi, P. (2015) 'Sharps injuries among medical students', *Global Journal of Health Science*, 7(5), pp. 320-5.
- Hambridge, K., Nichols, A., Endacott, R. (2016) 'The impact of sharps injuries on student nurses: a systematic review', *British Journal of Nursing*, 25:1064–71.

Hambridge, K., Nichols, A., Endacot, R. (2021) 'Investigating the incidence and type of sharps injuries within the nursing student population in the UK', *British Journal of Nursing*, 30, 17, <https://doi.org/10.12968/bjon.2021.30.17.998>

Health and Safety Executive (1995) *Advisory Committee on Dangerous Pathogens. Protection against bloodborne infections in the workplace: HIV and Hepatitis*. London: HMSO.

Health and safety Executive (2021) Sharps injuries
<https://www.hse.gov.uk/healthservices/needlesticks/>

Hersey, JC., Martin, LS. (1994) 'Use of infection control guidelines by workers in healthcare facilities to prevent occupational transmission of HBV and HIV: results from a national survey', *Infection Control and Hospital Epidemiology*, 15(4), pp. 243-52.

Jisc (2021) Online surveys <https://www.jisc.ac.uk/online-surveys>

Jung, JY. (2019) 'Exposure to blood and body fluids during the clinical practicum of paramedic students', *Journal of the Korea Society of Computer and Information*, 24, 3, pp. 175-9.

Karadağ, M. (2010) 'Occupational exposure to blood and body fluids among a group of Turkish nursing and midwifery students during clinical practice training: frequency of needlestick and sharps injury', *Japan Journal of Nursing Science*, 7, pp. 129-35.

Kursun, S., Arslan, S. (2014) Needlestick and sharp injuries among nursing and midwifery students, *International Journal of Caring Sciences*, 7(2), pp. 661-8

Liyanage, IK., Caldera, T., Rwma, R., Liyange, CK., De Silva, P., Karunathilake, IM (2012) 'Sharps injuries among medical students in the faculty of medicine, Colombo, Sri Lanka', *International Journal of Occupational Medical Environmental Health*, 25(3), pp. 275-80.

Malik S, Jabeen W, Hassan F, Haq UU, Bhatti NM, Naveed T. Needle stick injury and Hepatitis B Vaccination Awareness and Prevalence among Dental College Students in Rawalpindi. *Pak Oral Dent J* 2019; 39(3):258-61.

Marusic, V., Markovic-Denic, L., Djuric, O., Protic, D., Dubljanin-Raspopovic, E. (2017) 'Knowledge about blood borne pathogens and the prevalence of needlestick injuries among medical students in Serbia', *Zdr Varst*, 56(3), pp. 179-84.

Marnejon, T., Gemmel, D., Mulhern, K. (2016) 'Patterns of needlestick and sharps injuries among trainee residents', *JAMA Intern Med*, 176, pp. 251-2.

McCarthy, GM., Britton, JE. (2000) 'A survey of final-year dental, medical and nursing students: occupational injuries and infection control', *Journal of the Canadian Dental Association*, 66(10), p. 561.

Muralidhar, S., Singh, PK., Jain, RK., Malhotra, M., Bala, M., Muralidhar, S., Singh, PK., Jain, RK., Malhotra, M., Bala, M. (2010) 'Needle stick injuries among health care workers in a tertiary care hospital of India', *Indian Journal of Medical Research*, 131(3), pp. 405-10.

Myers, JE., Myers, R., Wheat, ME., Yin, MT. (2012) 'Dental students and blood borne pathogens: occupational exposures, knowledge and attitudes', *Journal of Dental Education*, 76(4), pp. 479-86.

Naghavi, SH., Shabestari, O., Alcolado, J. (2013) 'Post-traumatic stress disorder in trainee doctors with previous needlestick injuries', *Occupational Medicine*, 63(4), pp. 260-5.

Papadopoli, R., Bianco, A., Pepe, D., Pileggi, C., Pavia, M. (2019) 'Sharps and needlestick injuries among medical residents and healthcare professional students; pattern and reporting in Italy – a cross-sectional study', *Occup Environ Med*, 76, pp. 739-45.

Royal College of Nursing (2009) *Needlestick injuries. The point of prevention*. London: Royal College of Nursing.

Royal College of Nursing (2013) *Sharp safety. RCN Guidance to support the implementation of The Health and Safety (Sharp Instruments in Healthcare Regulations) 2013*. London: Royal College of Nursing.

Shen, C., Jagger, J., Pearson, RD. (1999) 'Risk of needle stick and sharp object injuries among medical students', *American Journal of Infection Control*, 27, 435-7.

Smith, WAJ., Al-Bayaty, HF., Matthews, RW. (2006) 'Percutaneous injuries of dental personnel at the University of the West Indies, School of Dentistry', *International Dental Journal*, 56, pp. 209-14.

Sohn, JW., Kim, BG., Kim, SH., Han, C. (2006) 'Mental health of healthcare workers who experience needlestick and sharps injuries', *Journal of Occupational Health*, 48(6), pp. 474-9.

US Department of Veteran Affairs (2013) *PTSD Screening Instruments*. Available at: <http://www.ptsd.va.gov/professional/assessment/screens/pc-ptsd.asp> (Accessed: 24 March 2023).

Varsou, O., Lemon, JS., Dick, FD. (2009) 'Sharps injuries among medical students', *Occupational Medicine*, 59, pp. 509-11.

World Health Organisation (2003) *Sharps injuries: Global burden of disease from sharps injuries to healthcare workers*. Geneva: WHO.

Wicker, S., Rabenau, HF. (2010) 'Occupational exposures to blood borne viruses among German dental professionals and students in a clinical setting', *Int Arch Occup Environ Health*, 83, pp. 77-83.

Xu, X., Yin, Y., Wang, H., Wang, F. (2022) 'Prevalence of needlestick injury among nursing students: a systematic review and meta-analysis', *Frontiers in Public Health*, 10: 937887