

2024-03-19

# Dementia screening in rural Kenya: The prevalence and impact of screening positive for dementia

Musyimi, C

<https://pearl.plymouth.ac.uk/handle/10026.1/21799>

---

10.1159/000536012

Neuroepidemiology

Karger Publishers

---

*All content in PEARL is protected by copyright law. Author manuscripts are made available in accordance with publisher policies. Please cite only the published version using the details provided on the item record or document. In the absence of an open licence (e.g. Creative Commons), permissions for further reuse of content should be sought from the publisher or author.*

***Dementia screening in rural Kenya: The prevalence and impact of screening positive for dementia***

Christine W. Musyimi<sup>a</sup>, David M. Ndetei<sup>a,b</sup>, Levi A. Muyela<sup>a</sup>, Joe Masila<sup>c</sup>, Nicolas Farina<sup>d</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Africa Mental Health Research and Training Foundation, Nairobi, Kenya

<sup>b</sup> University of Nairobi, Nairobi, Kenya

<sup>c</sup> Makueni County Referral Hospital, Makueni, Kenya

<sup>d</sup> University of Plymouth, Plymouth, England

Short Title: Dementia screening in rural Kenya

Corresponding Author: Prof. David M. Ndetei

Professor David M. Ndetei

Africa Mental Health Research and Training Foundation,

Mawensi Road, Off Elgon Road, Mawensi Garden

Nairobi, 48423-00100, Nairobi

Tel: +254 20 2651360; Email:

E-mail: [dmndetei@amhf.or.ke](mailto:dmndetei@amhf.or.ke)

Number of Tables: 3

Number of Figures: 0

Word count: 2,842

Keywords: Dementia, older adults, prevalence, loneliness, Kenya

1 **Abstract**

2 **Introduction:** In Kenya, there is a lack of data on the number of people with dementia. In this article,  
3 we aim to estimate the number of community-dwelling older adults (aged 60 years and above) that  
4 are potentially living with dementia in rural Kenya.

5 **Methods:** Recruitment of older adults occurred through adopting a convenience approach based on  
6 the catchment areas served by trained ten Community Health Workers (CHWs). Screening was  
7 conducted using the Brief Community Screening Instrument for Dementia (CSI-D), in which  
8 prevalence ratios were reported. Regression analyses were run to understand the association  
9 between screening outcome and wellbeing, social isolation, and employment status (adjusted for  
10 age, sex, literacy, geography, and social status).

11 **Results:** Of the 3,546 older adults who were screened for dementia, 652 screened positive (0.18,  
12 95%CI 0.17 to 0.20). Back estimating screen positives based on established sensitivity and specificity  
13 of the tool against a gold standard (clinical diagnosis), yielded a prevalence of 9.4% (0.09, 95%CI  
14 0.08 to 0.11). Screening positive for dementia was associated with poorer quality of life (B = -0.17,  
15  $p < 0.001$ ) and loneliness (B = 0.28,  $p < 0.001$ ).

16 **Conclusion:** There is potentially 258,000 older adults living with dementia in Kenya, who likely have  
17 poorer outcomes. We need to encourage a timely diagnosis and develop better ways to support  
18 people living with dementia in Kenya and other resource-limited settings.

19

20 **Introduction**

21 The Kenyan census done in 2019 revealed that 11% of the population were aged 60 years and older  
22 [1], surpassing the projected 2050 population estimates (10.6%) [2]. Dementia has a profound impact  
23 on those living with the condition and is associated with older age; as such modelling suggests that  
24 the number people with dementia in Kenya will grow to 361,000 in 2050 [3]. Underdiagnosis and lack  
25 of comprehensive surveillance in the region makes making conclusions on the basis of health records  
26 alone problematic.

27 It is essential that we are able to generate localised evidence about the number of people with  
28 dementia, in part because of potentially unmeasured risk factors that could lead to country-level  
29 variation. For example, in Kenya there is potentially higher numbers of HIV-neurocognitive  
30 impairment [4] indicating HIV infection as a significant risk factor for dementia etiology. Generating  
31 in-country prevalence estimates may also be more palatable for policy makers [5], and provides the  
32 best current basis for resource allocation of dementia care and service planning. Despite this, there is  
33 still a lack of data on the number of people living with dementia in Kenya [6]. The best quality data is  
34 derived from non-representative cross-sectional estimates (17.9% of probable dementia) identified  
35 through care and health systems [7].

36 Just as importantly, we need to understand how living with dementia impacts people's lives. To date,  
37 much of the evidence is derived from small samples of caregivers or health professionals, perhaps  
38 reflecting that many people diagnosed with dementia do so at the later stages of the condition.  
39 Irrespective, the limited research highlights that living with dementia in Kenya is difficult. For  
40 example, stigma surrounding dementia can lead to neglect and abuse [8]. It is also important to  
41 recognise that for dementia there are non-standardised initial care pathways [9] and lack of available  
42 support for people with dementia and their families in Kenya [10]. Whilst we can make inferences  
43 about such data based on geographically or demographically similar countries, there are usually  
44 dramatic differences between health and care systems, finances, attitudes and culture.

45 At present, we are no closer to generating robust estimates of dementia prevalence in Kenya, but we  
46 can start to understand the numbers of community-dwelling older adults that are potentially living  
47 with dementia through exploring those who screen positive for dementia. Comparisons between  
48 those who screen positive and negative for dementia, better allow for us to understand potential risk  
49 factors but also how living cognitive impairment impacts the lives of older Kenyans.

50 **Materials and Methods**

## ***Dementia Screening in Rural Kenya***

51 **Design:** The Integration and Evaluation of a Community-Level Dementia Screening Programme in  
52 rural Kenya (DEM-SKY) was a cross-sectional project primarily designed as an evaluation study of the  
53 programme over a 6-month period.

54 **Study setting:** Makueni County is a rural county located on the south-eastern part of Kenya whose  
55 main economic activity is agriculture [11]. It hosts a population of 988,000 individuals, of which  
56 86,000 are over the age of 60 years old [12]. At the age of 60, males have an average life expectancy  
57 of 13.8 years and females have an average life expectancy of 16.5 years [12]. The county contains  
58 six sub counties with one County referral hospital, 11 sub-county hospitals, 47 health centers and  
59 178 dispensaries [11]. Makueni county has only one psychiatrist based at the County referral  
60 hospital. Our study was conducted in the central part of Makueni, close to the county headquarters  
61 in order to target participants who have access to the referral hospital with a mental health unit.

62 **Participants:** Participants were older adults (aged 60 years and older) living in Makueni County,  
63 Kenya. All older adults were required to have an informant (e.g., family member or friend) who could  
64 also participate in the informant reported section of the screening tool. Recruitment occurred  
65 through adopting a convenience approach based on the catchment areas served by ten Community  
66 Health Workers (CHWs). The CHWs would however regularly meet with the research team to reflect  
67 on the demographics (e.g., education, social status, gender, and literacy) of those screened to ensure  
68 that the sample was not homogenous. No formal criteria were used to assess homogeneity.

69 **Procedure:** CHWs were trained by the research team on consenting and administration of the  
70 assessment measures over a period of one week. The training involved a role-playing component and  
71 feedback session. After the training, older adults in the community were approached by CHWs in  
72 their homes to participate in the dementia screening program. All participants were offered to  
73 participate in the dementia screening as part of their usual healthcare and were highlighted the pros  
74 and cons of dementia screening. Participants were informed that they could participate in the  
75 dementia screening without participating in the research components. Following informed consent,  
76 CHWs asked a series of questions related to sociodemographics, loneliness and quality of life (QoL)  
77 prior to completing the dementia screening tool with the older adult and the informant. The older  
78 adult was then informed about the outcome of the dementia screening process and those who  
79 screened positive were supplied a referral letter to seek a diagnosis, if they so wished. The entire

## ***Dementia Screening in Rural Kenya***

80 screening process (from consenting to completion of the last measure) took approximately 30  
81 minutes.

### **Measures**

83 **Sociodemographic factors:** Older adult age, literacy, sex, people, geographic region of recruitment,  
84 employment—activity to produce goods or services to earn an income (as defined by the  
85 International Labour Organization [13])— and subjective socioeconomic status (MacArthur Scale of  
86 Subjective Social Status [14]).

87 **Dementia screening outcome:** The Brief Community Screening Instrument for Dementia (CSI-D). The  
88 measure is composed of both cognitive items (asked to the older adult; Brief CSI-D cognitive scale)  
89 and a combination of cognitive and functional items (asked to the informant; Brief CSI-D informant  
90 scale). The Brief CSI-D informant scale is subtracted from the Brief CSI-D cognitive scale (range -6 to  
91 9). Based upon the original paper tested in non-western settings (i.e., Latin America, India, China and  
92 Nigeria), a score of <5 has the best sensitivity and specificity for detecting dementia [15].

### **Other Outcomes:**

94 UCLA-3-item measure of loneliness [16], is a widely used measure of loneliness. Higher scores  
95 represent greater loneliness. The measure has previously been shown to have good internal  
96 consistency ( $\alpha=0.72$ ) [16]. The measure has been used internationally to generate national estimates  
97 of loneliness in older adults, albeit not within Africa [17]. However, the measure has been used in  
98 Ghanaian older adults (aged 50 years and older) with good internal consistency ( $\alpha=0.81$ ) [18]. Within  
99 the present study the UCLA-3-item measure of loneliness demonstrates good internal consistency ( $\omega$   
100 = 0.82, 95% CIs 0.81 to 0.83).

101 The EUROHIS-QOL-8 [19] is an 8-item measure of QoL. It has good internal consistency ( $\alpha = 0.83$ ), and  
102 is one of the most widely used measures older adult QoL within Africa [20]. Within the present study  
103 the EUROHIS-QOL-8 demonstrates adequate internal consistency ( $\omega = 0.79$ , 95% CIs 0.77 to 0.81).

104 All measures were forward- and back-translated into Kamba by two multilinguists and concepts and  
105 inconsistencies checked by a team consisting of clinicians, psychologists and community health  
106 officers to ensure the intended meaning had been maintained.

### **Analysis**

108 The percentages of who screened positive for dementia based on the Brief CSI-D were reported for  
109 the whole sample, and then split by age (5-year categories), sex and literacy (able to read and write).  
110 Prevalence estimates and 95% CIs were reported for each. We then re-analysed the whole sample

## ***Dementia Screening in Rural Kenya***

111 following weighting data based on surveillance data from Kenya on the distribution of the population  
112 by age and sex [21]. The analysis was first weighted based on proportions from Makueni County, and  
113 subsequently the whole of Kenya. See Appendix A for weightings.

114 In recognition that dementia screening is likely to overestimate true prevalence, we also applied a  
115 method of back estimating screen positives based on established sensitivity and specificity of the tool  
116 against a gold standard, adopting a similar approach to that reported elsewhere [22,23].

117 Whilst the cohort was cross-sectional in nature, we looked for associations based on variables that  
118 are theoretically likely to; 1) be risk factors dementia (age, sex and literacy), and 2) be a consequence  
119 of dementia (QoL, Social isolation, employment status). For risk factors, prevalence ratios (Poisson  
120 regression, 95% Wald Confidence Intervals) were calculated for those who screen positive against  
121 age (reference group: 60-64 years old), literacy (reference group: illiterate) and sex (reference group:  
122 female). These analyses were re-run with all independent variables entered into the model. For  
123 consequences of dementia, regression models were generated to understand the association  
124 between screening outcome and wellbeing, social isolation, and employment status (1=employed).  
125 In addition, we dichotomised social isolation outcome to facilitate interpretation, utilising the  
126 commonly employed  $\geq 6$  criteria which represents being 'lonely' [17]. Within each model, age, sex,  
127 literacy, geography (1=Makueni subcounty), and social status was adjusted for.

128

129 **Results**

130 Of the 3,546 older adults who were screened for dementia, participants were on average 70.5 years  
131 old, and predominantly female (58.3%). Nearly all identified as being Kamba (99.7%). See Table 1 for  
132 further details.

133 **Screen positive**

134 652 screened positive (18.4%, 95%CI = 17.1 to 19.7). Percentage of positive cases split by age, sex  
135 and literacy are reported in Table 2.

136 **Back estimation of prevalence**

137 Back estimation of the screening outcome based on the Brief CSI-D yields a prevalence of 9.4%  
138 (95%CI 7.9 to 10.8) translating to about 258,000 older adults potentially living with dementia in  
139 Kenya.

140 **Risk factors associated with screening positive.**

141 Screening positive for dementia was associated with older age, with the oldest age group (100 years  
142 old +) being 5.31 times more likely to screen positive than the 60–64-year-old group. The only  
143 comparison that was not statistically different was the comparison between the 65–69-year and the  
144 60–64-year-old groups. Those who were illiterate, and female were associated with screening  
145 positive for dementia. After adjusting for all other demographic factors, similar associations were  
146 reported, but females were no longer significantly associated with screening positive for dementia  
147 ( $p=0.07$ ). As shown in Table 3.

148 **Outcomes associated with screening positive for dementia.**

149 Descriptive data of outcomes, split by screening positive and negative are reported in Table 4. People  
150 who screened positive with dementia was associated with poorer QoL ( $B = -0.17$ , 95%CI -0.22 to -  
151 0.12;  $p < 0.001$ ) and loneliness ( $B = 0.28$ , 95%CI 0.13 to 0.43;  $p < 0.001$ ) after adjusting for other  
152 demographic factors. There was also a trend for people who screened positive for dementia to not  
153 be in employment ( $aOR = 0.72$ , 95%CI 0.51 to 1.03;  $p = 0.08$ ) in the adjusted model. People who  
154 screened positive were also associated with dichotomised loneliness ( $n = 236$ , 36.2%) compared to  
155 those who screened negative ( $n = 878$ , 30.5%) ( $aOR = 1.22$ , 95%CI 1.01 to 1.47;  $p = 0.04$ ).

156 **Discussion/Conclusion**

157 Data from a dementia screening program in Kenya, provide us with the first estimate of prevalence of  
158 those potentially living with dementia within the community in Kenya. The findings indicate that



## ***Dementia Screening in Rural Kenya***

159 there are large numbers of older adults potentially living with dementia, which is associated with  
160 poorer outcomes.

161 Previous estimates of cases screened positive for dementia using the Brief CSI-D (Combined cut-off  
162 <5) appear to generate similar estimates as Uganda (20%) [23], Argentina (18%) [24] and South Africa  
163 (17%) [22,25]. The consistency potentially indicates a level of robustness and cultural sensitivity. The  
164 findings also appear to be not dissimilar to existing Kenyan data (i.e., 17.9%) generated within a  
165 health and care setting, despite having profoundly different methodologies [7]. We need to be  
166 vigilant that the cut-offs used here, may not be optimal for Kenya, despite the proposed cut-off being  
167 found to be optimal across a number of different countries [15]. The common associations between  
168 screening positive for dementia and age, sex and literacy, were also reported here, providing further  
169 validity to our findings.

170 Weighting of analysis based on county-level demographics and country-level demographics did not  
171 dramatically change the prevalence of screen positives. However, back estimation of dementia  
172 prevalence revealed that the number of people living with dementia might be closer to 9%. If  
173 accurate, this could mean over 258,000 older adults are living with dementia in Kenya, based on  
174 2019 demography [1]. Whilst such a prevalence estimate would be considered elevated compared to  
175 many estimates internationally, it does appear to align better with the limited evidence from Central,  
176 Eastern and South Africa that have generated estimates of  $\geq 6\%$  [26]. Such variability is likely to be  
177 driven by variation in sampling, but in particular the criteria used for diagnosis.

178 The Kenyan older adult sample reported lower QoL compared to a broad geographic group of long-  
179 term ill participants ( $M=3.52$ ;  $SD=0.63$ ) [19]. Our findings indicate that people who screen positive for  
180 dementia were found to have statistically poorer QoL compared to those who screened negative. It is  
181 unclear whether this difference is clinically meaningful. It should be recognised that QoL is not  
182 exclusively determined by cognition and does not necessarily decline as the condition progresses  
183 [27], thus having dementia should not necessarily result in poorer QoL.

184 The current sample indicates somewhat higher loneliness scores compared to samples from Spain  
185 (65 years and older;  $M=4.08$ ,  $SD=1.55$ ) [28], Hong Kong (60 years and older;  $M=3.9$ ,  $SD=3.0$ ) [29],  
186 Japan (65 years and older;  $M=4.30$ ,  $SD= 1.65$ ) [30], and Malaysia (60 years and older;  $M=3.25$ ,  
187  $SD=0.88$ ) [31], to name a few. Application of a cut-off indicates that close to a third of older adults'  
188 sample were lonely, with those who screened positive for dementia being 22% more likely to be  
189 lonely than those who screen negative. Due to the cross-sectional nature of the study and no ways to  
190 ascertain the temporal relationship between the two, the way we interpret this association is difficult  
191 because loneliness can also be seen as a risk factor for dementia [32]. Irrespective, we should be

## ***Dementia Screening in Rural Kenya***

192 concerned about the presence of loneliness in this population because it could contribute to cases of  
193 dementia, but also may have a subsequent effect on the lives of the older adults. Loneliness has been  
194 found to be associated with other negative outcomes such as depression [33], functional decline  
195 [34], hypertension [35,36], and death [34].

196 Our findings also indicate that older adults who screen positive for dementia are less likely to be in  
197 employment, though this did not remain statistically significant after adjusting for other factors.  
198 Kenyan pensions policy involves a series of old-age benefits available dependent on whether a  
199 person was previously public/private sector or self-employed. Depending on the type of benefit,  
200 pensions become available when a person reaches 50 or 60 years old, but typically require  
201 employment to stop. There is also a universal pension for those aged 70 years and older, for those  
202 who do not receive any other pension. Within our sample, there appears to be a cohort of older  
203 adults who maintain work (7.7% over the age of 70) despite these financial support packages being  
204 available, though it is unclear why. Those who were in employment did appear to be less satisfied  
205 with their financial situation, as measured by the EUROHIS-QOL-8 item. In fact, recent reports  
206 highlight that Kenyan retirees are some of the poorest internationally, and this typically means that  
207 older adults have to remain in work to 'top up' their income [37]. Critique of policies that necessitate  
208 or encourage older adults to remain in work fall outside the scope of this work. Irrespective, our  
209 findings indicate that people who screen positive maybe more susceptible to further financial strain  
210 as they are unable to keep working.

211 There are, however, several limitations to consider. First, the data generated does not represent  
212 actual dementia prevalence, not least because the Brief CSI-D tool does not claim to be a diagnostic  
213 tool and does not seek to exclude other comorbidities that might cause cognitive and functional  
214 impairment. Through adopting backward estimates of prevalence, based on existing knowledge of  
215 sensitivity and specificity against diagnostic gold standards, we may get a better understanding of  
216 what the prevalence might be. Irrespective, the study was not designed to generate definitive  
217 prevalence estimates, and thus the convenience sampling may introduce sources of bias. For  
218 example, our sample predominantly originates from a single ethnic group (Kamba people) from a  
219 single region (Makueni subcounty). In line with this, application of weightings may amplify  
220 unmeasured sampling bias, however, weighting did not ultimately change screen positive ratios  
221 outside the 95% CIs reported initially. Second, due to the cross-sectional nature of the research,  
222 associations between factors does not tell us the direction of any effect. Third, all participants  
223 screened agreed to participate in the research. As such, the screening process included additional  
224 data collection (e.g., QoL, demographics) prior to screening process. Although the length of the

### ***Dementia Screening in Rural Kenya***

225 whole process is still modest, we should consider that the increased length of testing may influence  
226 fatigue and performance on cognitive tasks [38]. Finally, the research is limited to older adults with  
227 an informant that who could also participate in the dementia screening, as such, the most isolated of  
228 society maybe missing from our cohort and there could be greater numbers of loneliness.

229 The study highlights that approximately 18% of older adults in Kenya have symptoms indicative of  
230 dementia, based on a dementia screening tool. Through adopting back estimation, this would equate  
231 to 258,000 older adults that could be living with dementia. Whilst it is important to ensure people  
232 receive a timely diagnosis, there is perhaps a more pressing issue to ensure sufficient support for  
233 those experiencing dementia-related symptoms, as ultimately, they have poorer outcomes. Further  
234 research is needed to understand how these outcomes change over time, particularly where  
235 diagnosis and formal support are not common.

236

237 **Statements**

238 **Acknowledgement**

239 The authors would like to acknowledge Makueni County for the support given during the research  
240 period through availing community health workers as well as healthcare workers and granting a  
241 supportive environment. The authors would also like to acknowledge Africa Mental Health Research  
242 and Training foundation for serving as the host institution for the research project.

243 **Statement of Ethics**

244 Study approval statement: This study protocol was reviewed and approved by Maseno University  
245 Ethics Review Committee (MUSERC), approval number MUSERC/01102/22 and by National  
246 Commission for Science, Technology and Innovation (NACOSTI), approval number  
247 NACOSTI/P/22/19392.

248 Consent to participate statement: Written informed consent was obtained from all participants in the  
249 study.

250 **Conflict of Interest Statement**

251 The authors have no conflict of interest to declare.

252 **Funding Sources**

253 This research was funded by the Davos Alzheimer's Collaborative

254 **Author Contributions**

255 All authors made substantial contributions to the conception and design of the work, in writing and  
256 reviewing the manuscript. All authors have approved this version for publication and are accountable  
257 for all aspects of the work.

258 **Data Availability Statement**

259 The data that support the findings of this study are available from the corresponding author upon  
260 reasonable request.

## References

- [1] Kenya National Bureau of Statistics (2019) *Volume III: Distribution of Population by Age, Sex and Administrative units*.
- [2] Department of Economic and Social Affairs Population Division, World Population Ageing 2017 - Highlights (ST/ESA/SER.A/397), Last updated 2017, Accessed on 2017.
- [3] Nichols E, Steinmetz JD, Vollset SE, Fukutaki K, Chalek J, Abd-Allah F, Abdoli A, Abualhasan A, Abu-Gharbieh E, Akram TT (2022) Estimation of the global prevalence of dementia in 2019 and forecasted prevalence in 2050: an analysis for the Global Burden of Disease Study 2019. *The Lancet Public Health* **7**, e105–e125.
- [4] Mohamed AA, Oduor C, Kinyanjui D (2020) HIV-associated neurocognitive disorders at Moi teaching and referral hospital, Eldoret, Kenya. *BMC neurology* **20**, 1–11.
- [5] Lundkvist A, El-Khatib Z, Kalra N, Pantoja T, Leach-Kemon K, Gapp C, Kuchenmüller T (2021) Policy-makers' views on translating burden of disease estimates in health policies: bridging the gap through data visualization. *Archives of Public Health* **79**, 1–11.
- [6] Farina N, Ibnidris A, Alladi S, Comas-Herrera A, Albanese E, Docrat S, Ferri CP, Freeman E, Govia I, Jacobs R, Astudillo-Garcia CI, Musyimi C, Sani TP, Schneider M, Theresia I, Turana Y, Knapp M, Banerjee S (2020) A systematic review and meta-analysis of dementia prevalence in seven developing countries: a STRiDE project. *Global Public Health*.
- [7] Ndeti DM, Khasakhala L, Kuria MW, Mutiso V, Muriungi S, Bagaka B (2013) *A study on assessment of needs, care in the homes and clinical trends among the elderly in Kenya*, Africa Mental Health Foundation, Nairobi, Kenya.
- [8] Musyimi CW, Ndeti DM, Evans-Lacko S, Oliveira D, Mutunga E, Farina N (2021) Perceptions and experiences of dementia and its care in rural Kenya. *Dementia* 14713012211014800.
- [9] Musyimi CW, Muyela LA, Mutiso VN, Mutunga E, Ndeti DM (2023) Understanding dementia care pathways for policy development and service planning in Kenya. *Dementia* 14713012231166743.
- [10] Mwendwa P, Mutunga E, Kroll T, De Brún A (2021) 'It is stressful, almost every hour...': Experiences of caring for people living with dementia in Kenya—An interpretive phenomenological approach. *Dementia* 14713012211022989.
- [11] Government of Makueni County, Last updated 2023, Accessed on 2023.
- [12] Commission on Revenue Allocation (2022) *Kenya County Fact Sheets*, Commission on Revenue Allocation, Nairobi.
- [13] Work and Employment are not Synonyms, Last updated 2019, Accessed on 2019.
- [14] Adler NE, Epel ES, Castellazzo G, Ickovics JR (2000) Relationship of subjective and objective social status with psychological and physiological functioning: Preliminary data in healthy, White women. *Health psychology* **19**, 586.
- [15] Prince M, Acosta D, Ferri CP, Guerra M, Huang Y, Jacob KS, Llibre Rodriguez JJ, Salas A, Sosa AL, Williams JD, Hall KS (2011) A brief dementia screener suitable for use by non-specialists in resource poor settings—the cross-cultural derivation and validation of the brief Community Screening Instrument for Dementia. *International Journal of Geriatric Psychiatry* **26**, 899–907.
- [16] Hughes ME, Waite LJ, Hawkey LC, Cacioppo JT (2004) A Short Scale for Measuring Loneliness in Large Surveys: Results From Two Population-Based Studies. *Research on Aging* **26**, 655–672.
- [17] Surkalim DL, Luo M, Eres R, Gebel K, van Buskirk J, Bauman A, Ding D (2022) The prevalence of loneliness across 113 countries: systematic review and meta-analysis. *Bmj* **376**,.
- [18] Gyasi RM, Peprah P, Abass K, Pokua Siaw L, Dodzi Ami Adjakloe Y, Kofi Garsonu E, Phillips DR (2022) Loneliness and physical function impairment: Perceived health status as an effect modifier in community-dwelling older adults in Ghana. *Prev Med Rep* **26**, 101721.
- [19] Schmidt S, Mühlan H, Power M (2006) The EUROHIS-QOL 8-item index: psychometric results of a cross-cultural field study. *The European Journal of Public Health* **16**, 420–428.

## ***Dementia Screening in Rural Kenya***

- [20] Attafuah PYA, Everink IHJ, Halfens RJG, Lohrmann C, Abuosi A, Schols JMGA (2021) Instruments used to assess quality of life of older adults in African countries: a scoping review. *BMC geriatrics* **21**, 1–11.
- [21] Kenya National Bureau of Statistics (KNBS) (2019) *2019 Kenya Population and Housing Census Volume III: Distribution of Population by Age, Sex and Administrative Units*, , Nairobi, Kenya.
- [22] de Jager CA, Msemburi W, Pepper K, Combrinck MI (2017) Dementia Prevalence in a Rural Region of South Africa: A Cross-Sectional Community Study. *Journal of Alzheimer's Disease* **60**, 1087–1096.
- [23] Mubangizi V, Maling S, Obua C, Tsai AC (2020) Prevalence and correlates of Alzheimer's disease and related dementias in rural Uganda: cross-sectional, population-based study. *BMC Geriatrics* **20**, 48.
- [24] Seinhart DB, Castro D, Borgioli D, Guelar V, Sanchez VN, Vicario A, Leguizamon PP, Pawluk MS, Del Sueldo R, Sueldo M del (2016) P2-325: Validation of the Brief Version of the Community Screening Instrument for Dementia (CSID) in a Rural Population in Argentina. *Alzheimer's & Dementia* **12**, P765–P766.
- [25] Farina N, Jacobs R, Turana Y, Fitri FI, Schneider M, Theresia I, Docrat S, Sani TP, Augustina L, Albanese E (2023) Comprehensive measurement of the prevalence of dementia in low-and middle-income countries: STRiDE methodology and its application in Indonesia and South Africa. *BJPsych Open* **9**, e102.
- [26] Akinyemi RO, Yaria J, Ojagbemi A, Guerchet M, Okubadejo N, Njamnshi AK, Sarfo FS, Akpalu A, Ogbale G, Ayantayo T (2022) Dementia in Africa: Current evidence, knowledge gaps, and future directions. *Alzheimer's & Dementia* **18**, 790–809.
- [27] King D, Farina N, Burgon C, Feeney Y, Berwald S, Bustard E, Gallaher L, Habibi R, Wittenberg R, Comas-Herrera A (2022) Factors associated with change over time in quality of life of people with dementia: longitudinal analyses from the MODEM cohort study. *BMC geriatrics* **22**, 469.
- [28] Trucharte A, Calderón L, Cerezo E, Contreras A, Peinado V, Valiente C (2023) Three-item loneliness scale: Psychometric properties and normative data of the Spanish version. *Current Psychology* **42**, 7466–7474.
- [29] Liu T, Lu S, Leung DKY, Sze LCY, Kwok WW, Tang JYM, Luo H, Lum TYS, Wong GHY (2020) Adapting the UCLA 3-item loneliness scale for community-based depressive symptoms screening interview among older Chinese: a cross-sectional study. *BMJ open* **10**, e041921.
- [30] Saito T, Cable N, Aida J, Shirai K, Saito M, Kondo K (2019) Validation study on a Japanese version of the three-item UCLA Loneliness Scale among community-dwelling older adults. *Geriatrics & gerontology international* **19**, 1068.
- [31] Sazlina S-G, Chan YM, Hamid TA, Suzana S, Ajit Singh DK (2018) Associations between falls and psychosocial factors, self-rated health, disability and sleep among community dwelling older people in Malaysia. *J Clin Gerontol Geriatr* **9**, 85–90.
- [32] Sundström A, Adolfsson AN, Nordin M, Adolfsson R (2020) Loneliness increases the risk of all-cause dementia and Alzheimer's disease. *The Journals of Gerontology: Series B* **75**, 919–926.
- [33] Aylaz R, Aktürk Ü, Erci B, Öztürk H, Aslan H (2012) Relationship between depression and loneliness in elderly and examination of influential factors. *Archives of gerontology and geriatrics* **55**, 548–554.
- [34] Perissinotto CM, Cenzer IS, Covinsky KE (2012) Loneliness in older persons: a predictor of functional decline and death. *Archives of internal medicine* **172**, 1078–1084.
- [35] Momtaz YA, Hamid TA, Yusoff S, Ibrahim R, Chai ST, Yahaya N, Abdullah SS (2012) Loneliness as a risk factor for hypertension in later life. *Journal of aging and health* **24**, 696–710.
- [36] Yousefi Z, Sameie-Sis S, Taheri-Targhi S, Araj-khodaei M, Sanaie S, Adams C, Azizi-Zeinalhajlou A (2023) Loneliness as a Predictor of Hypertension in Older Adults: The TOPS Study. *International Journal* **1**, 2.

## ***Dementia Screening in Rural Kenya***

- [37] Allianz Research (2023) *Reforming against the demographic clock: Allianz Global Pension Report 2023*.
- [38] Jeong D, Aggarwal S, Robinson J, Kumar N, Spearot A, Park DS (2023) Exhaustive or exhausting? Evidence on respondent fatigue in long surveys. *Journal of Development Economics* **161**, 102992.

**Tables**

Table 1. Sample demographics

	Missing	N (%)	Mean (SD)
Age	3		70.5 (8.61)
Sex: Male	0	1480 (41.7%)	
Literacy: Able to read and write	9	2409 (67.9%)	
Ethnicity: Kamba	0	3534 (99.7%)	
Residence: Makueni subcounty	2	3375 (95.2%)	
MacAuthur Scale of Subjective Social Status (↑ Higher social status)	18		2.7 (1.34)



**Table 2.** Cases and prevalence of screen positive for dementia in those over the age of 60. Data is also split by age, sex and literacy.

Total	Cohort: n	Positive cases: n	Positive cases: Rate, % (95% CI)
60-64	1020	104	10.2 (8.4 to 12.2)
65-69	849	98	11.5 (9.5 to 13.9)
70-74	769	134	17.4 (14.8 to 20.3)
75-79	360	96	26.7 (22.2 to 31.6)
80-84	272	87	32.0 (26.5 to 37.9)
85-89	123	53	43.1 (34.2 to 52.3)
90-94	86	43	50.0 (39.0 to 61.0)
95-99	43	24	55.8 (39.9 to 70.9)
100 plus	24	13	54.2 (32.8 to 74.4)

  

Sex	Cohort: n	Positive cases: n	Positive cases: Rate, % (95% CI)
Male	1480	225	15.2 (13.4 to 17.1)
Female	2066	427	20.7 (18.9 to 22.5)

  

Literacy	Cohort: n	Positive cases: n	Positive cases: Rate, % (95% CI)
Total Literate	2409	320	13.3 (12.0 to 14.7)
Total Illiterate	1128	332	29.4 (26.8 to 32.2)

## ***Dementia Screening in Rural Kenya***

Totals	Cohort: n	Positive cases: n	Positive cases: Rate, % (95% CI)
Grand total (Unweighted)	3546	652	18.4 (17.1 to 19.7)
Grand total (weighted, Makueni County)*	3572	665	18.6 (17.4 to 19.9)
Grand total (weighted, Kenya)*	3546	652	18.4 (17.1 to 19.7)

\*Weighted based on census proportions of age and sex.

**Table 3. Prevalence ratios (95% Wald CIs) of demographic factors associated with screening positive with dementia.**

		<b>Unadjusted</b>	<b>Adjusted *</b>
<b>Age</b>	<b>60-64</b>	Ref	Ref
	<b>65-69</b>	1.13 (0.87 to 1.47)	1.10 (0.85 to 1.43)
	<b>60-74</b>	1.71 (1.35 to 2.17)	1.57 (1.23 to 2.00)
	<b>75-79</b>	2.62 (2.04 to 3.36)	2.38 (1.84 to 3.07)
	<b>80-84</b>	3.14 (2.44 to 4.03)	2.64 (2.02 to 3.45)
	<b>85-89</b>	4.23 (3.22 to 5.55)	3.41 (2.54 to 4.57)
	<b>90-94</b>	4.90 (3.71 to 6.48)	3.77 (2.79 to 5.09)
	<b>95-99</b>	5.47 (3.97 to 7.56)	4.15 (2.97 to 5.78)
	<b>100 plus</b>	5.31 (3.52 to 8.01)	4.16 (2.71 to 6.4)
<b>Literacy</b>	<b>Literate</b>	Ref	Ref
	<b>Illiterate</b>	2.22 (1.93 to 2.54)	1.47 (1.24 to 1.73)
<b>Sex</b>	<b>Male</b>	Ref	Ref
	<b>Female</b>	1.36 (1.17 to 1.58)	1.15 (0.99 to 1.34)

\*Age was adjusted for literacy and sex. Literacy was adjusted for age and sex. Sex was adjusted for age and literacy.

Table 4. Descriptives of outcomes in those that screen positive and negative for dementia, based on the Brief CSID.

	Total		Screen negative		Screen positive	
	M (SD)	N (valid %)	M (SD)	N (valid %)	M(SD)	N (valid %)
EUROHIS-QOL-8 (↑ better quality of life)	2.6 (0.60)		2.6 (0.58)		2.4 (0.65)	
UCLA-3-item measure of loneliness (↑ more lonely)	4.8 (1.75)		4.7 (1.72)		5.1 (1.86)	
Employed: Yes		362 (10.2%)		323 (11.2%)		39 (6.0%)



## ***Dementia Screening in Rural Kenya***

### **Appendix A: Weightings**

Demographic data (gender and age) at a county-level (Makueni County) and country-level (Kenya) were derived from a single source (Kenya National Bureau of Statistics, 2019).

#### **Weightings used within study, at a county-level and country-level.**

Age	Makueni County		Kenya	
	Male	Female	Male	Female
60-64	1.0358	0.9696	1.203185	1.032472
65-69	1.067	0.9988	1.093558	0.9384
70-74	0.8722	0.8164	0.94383	0.809916
75-79	1.0253	0.9597	1.109371	0.951968
80-84	1.0726	1.004	1.05743	0.907398
85-89	1.3337	1.2485	1.302904	1.118043
90-94	1.5608	1.4611	0.903745	0.775518
95-99	1.3364	1.251	0.918753	0.788397
100+	1.3999	1.3104	0.825224	0.708138

### **References**

Kenya National Bureau of Statistics (2019) *Volume III: Distribution of Population by Age, Sex and Administrative units*. Nairobi, Kenya: Kenya National Bureau of Statistics.